

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,822	07/28/2003	Glenn A. Walker	DP-308984	5854
7590 11/15/2006 STEFAN V. CHMIELEWSKI DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Staff Mail Code: CT10C P.O. Box 9005 Kokomo, IN 46904-9005			EXAMINER	
			LEE, SIU M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer	10/628,822	WALKER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Siu M. Lee	2611.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ju</u>	ılv 2003.				
	action is non-final.				
,	,—				
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application		1.3			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
. a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		·			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	· ·	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
)					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/12/2003 Other: 					

Application/Control Number: 10/628,822 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-4 and 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godwin (US 6,741,834 B1) in view of Denda et al. (US 2002/0099882 A1) and Kawamata et al. (US 2003/0054758 A1).
 - (1) Regarding claim 1

Godwin discloses a receiver comprising:

a first antenna (antenna 112 in figure 5) for receiving a signal from a satellite (satellite 108 in figure 5), wherein said satellite signal contains information of national interest (satellite 108 broadcasts signals to receivers disposed in an area hereinafter referred to as a national broadcast region) (column 5, lines 31-33);

a second antenna (antenna 112 in figure 5, as explained in column 6, lines 54-55, the tuner module 514 and the second tuner module 516 could be coupled to different antennae) receiving a signal from a land-based transmitter wherein said land-based signal contains information of local interest (terrestrial encoder/modulator/transmitter 510 and the antenna 512 in figure 5);

a national broadcast demodulator (satellite tuner/demod/decoder/demux 514 in figure 5) coupled to the first antenna (antenna 112) (column 6, lines 56-58) and the system controller (controller module 530 in figure 5) (column 7, lines 42-45);

a local broadcast demodulator (terrestrial tuner /demod/decoder/demux 516 in figure 5) coupled to the second antenna (antenna 112 in figure 5, as explained in column 6, lines 54-55, the tuner module 514 and the second tuner module 516 could be coupled to different antennae) and the system controller (controller module 530 in figure 5) (column 7, lines 42-45).

Godwin fails to disclose: (a) the national broadcast demodulator provides a first interrupt indicator to the system controller; and (b) a system controller wherein the local broadcast demodulator provides a second interrupt indicator to the system controller and an information output select device, wherein upon receiving either the first or second interrupt indicator at the system controller, the system controller switches the information output select device between the national broadcast information and the local broadcast information.

With respect to (a), Kawamata et al. discloses a national broadcast demodulator (digital broadcast receiver containing disconnect detection portion 106 in figure 2) that provides a first interrupt indicator (when the disconnect detection portion 106 in figure 2 decides that the digital broadcast signal DS cannot be received normally, output of decision step S51 in figure 13) to the system controller (reproduction /switch-over portion 109 in figure 2) (paragraph 94, lines 7-15).

Application/Control Number: 10/628,822 Page 4

Art Unit: 2611

It is desirable to provides a first interrupt indicator to the system controller as taught by Kawamata et al. because it can avoid interruption of output of a content when the digital broadcast signal cannot be received normally (paragraph 240, lines 2-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the teaching of Kawamata et al. in the system of Godwin to improve the quality of service of the broadcasting system.

With respect to (b), Denda et al. discloses a switchover device that comprises a system controller (system controller 20 in figure 1), the local broadcast demodulator (reception tuner 14 in figure 1) provides a second interrupt indicator (RDS information) (paragraph 0075, lines 9-13) to the system controller also an information output select device (changeover device 19 in figure 1), wherein upon receiving interrupt indicator at the system controller, the system controller switches the information output select device between the interrupt request device and another information source (paragraph 0023, lines 1-4).

It is desirable to use the switchover device as taught by Denda et al. because it makes it possible to dispense with a troublesome operation for resetting a switchover connection state for the switchover connection device (paragraph 0090, lines 4-6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the system of Denda et al. with the system of Godwin and Kawamata et al. to provide a more convenient system.

(2) Regarding claim 3:

Application/Control Number: 10/628,822 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

Denda et al. further discloses the second interrupt indicator is an interrupt signal (paragraph 0023, lines 1-4).

(3) Regarding claim 4:

Denda et al. further discloses the interrupt signal is a radio data service (RDS) data signal (paragraph 0075, lines 9-14).

(4) Regarding claim 6:

Denda et al. further discloses the information output select device is diverge for audio data multiplexer (the switch element Swa1-Swa4 of the changeover device 19 in fig 6B select the output for the audio reproducing / outputting section) (switch element SWa1-Swa4 in figure 6B, paragraph 0075, lines 10-14).

(5) Regarding claim 7:

Godwin discloses a device wherein the national interest information satellite signal is an SDAR service signal (satellite tuner/demod/decoder/demux 514 in figure 5) and the local interest information land-based signal (terrestrial tuner /demod/decoder/demux 516 in figure 5) is an AM/FM signal (column 2, lines 5-13).

(6) Regarding claim 8:

Godwin discloses a device wherein the national broadcast demodulator (satellite tuner/demod/decoder/demux 514 in figure 5) is an SDAR service tuner and the local broadcast demodulator (terrestrial tuner /demod/decoder/demux 516 in figure 5) is an AM/FM tuner (column 2, lines 21-32).

(7) Regarding claim 9:

Denda et al. further discloses wherein digital audio signals are communicated from the tuners (different input 11-14 in figure 6B) to an audio multiplexer (the switch element Swa1-Swa4 in fig 6B select the output for the audio reproducing / outputting section and act as a audio multiplexer) (switch element SWa1-Swa4 in figure 6B, paragraph 0075, lines 10-14)

(8) Regarding claim 10:

Godwin discloses a device wherein the system controller further comprises a microcontroller (location module 518 and RBDS ROM database 528 in figure 5) (column 7, lines 18-21, 27-29 and 38-40).

(9) Regarding claim 11:

Godwin discloses a device wherein the microcontroller stores downloaded information relating to region-specific preferred local stations (column 7, lines 27-29).

(10) Regarding claim 12:

Godwin discloses a device wherein the downloaded information includes regional location information contains with a listing of the region-specific preferred local stations stored in a central database lookup table provided by a national broadcast service provider (the RBDS database can be periodically update via the satellite 108 in figure 5) (column 7, lines 23-29).

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godwin (US 6,741,834 B1) in view of Denda et al. (US 2002/0099882 A1) and Kawamata et al.

(US 2003/0054758 A1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lee (US 5,797,087).

Godwin, Denda et al. and Kawamata et al. discloses all the subject matter as discussed in claim 1 except the first interrupt indicator is a time-slot interrupt signal.

However, Lee teaches a method and apparatus for performing a preset listening operation for a radio broadcast comprising a control signal that switch the output of the radio broadcast from a first tuner to a second tuner for a preset period of time and return to the output to the first tuner after the preset period of time has ended. (column 4, lines 60 – column 5, lines 28)

It is desirable to use a control signal to automatically switch the output of the radio broadcast receiver to a preset channel for a preset period of time because it can avoid distracting the attention of the driver when switching the channels (column 7, lines 7-13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the system of Lee in the system of Godwin, Denda et al. and Kawamata et al. to provide a more convenient system.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godwin (US 6,741,834 B1) in view of Denda et al. (US 2002/0099882 A1) and Kawamata et al. (US 2003/0054758 A1) as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Williams et al. (US 5,701,161).

. Godwin, Denda et al. and Kawamata et al. discloses all the subject matter except the interrupt signal is a series of tones on the audio channel.

Williams et al. discloses an emergency broadcast system with interrupt signal of a series of tones on the audio channel (column 11, lines 3-9).

It is desirable to have an interrupt signal is a series of tones on the audio channel because it can attract the attention of the audience and alter them of the message (column 5, lines 30-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the interrupt signal that is a series of tones in the system of Godwin, Denda et al. and Kawamata et al. to provide a more effective interrupt signal.

- 5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godwin (US 6,741,834 B1) in view of Kozaki et al (US 5,548,828).
 - (1) Regarding claim 13:

Godwin discloses a method comprising the steps of:

determining if the receiver is set to a national information mode setting or a local information mode setting (step 710 in figure 7B) (column 9, lines 19-28);

upon determining when the national mode setting is detected, gathering location information of the receiver (step 806 in figure 8B) (column 8, line 67 – column 9, line 5);

downloading an available plurality of preferred local stations that correlate to the gathered location information (column 9, lines 5-9);

Godwin fails to disclose (a) choosing the a local station from the plurality of preferred local stations; (b) playing a national broadcast signal; (c) monitoring for a time-slot interrupt or a signal interrupt

and interrupting the national broadcast signal; and (e) initiating the playing of a local broadcast signal.

Kozaki et al. discloses a method comprising steps of:

- (a) choosing the a local station from the plurality of preferred local stations (generating a first radio signal from a first audio source) (column 3, line 41).
- (b) playing a national broadcast signal (output the first audio signal) (column 3, lines 42).
- (c) monitoring for a time-slot interrupt or a signal interrupt (monitoring a category of the broadcast transmission signal) (column 3, lines 42-43).
- (d) detecting a time-slot interrupt or a signal interrupt and interrupting the national broadcast signal (when the broadcast transmission signal category matches a stored desired category will activation of the interrupt mode) (column 3, lines 45-47).
- (e) initiating the playing of a local broadcast signal (output the second audio signal when the broadcast transmission signal category matches a stored desired category) (column 3, lines 43-47)

It is desirable to employ the method of Kozaki et al. because it will enhance the functionality of the receiver and provide an easier operation (column 1, lines 42-43 and 53-54). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the method as taught by Kozaki et al. with the method of Godwin to provide a more user friendly receiver.

(2) Regarding claim 15:

Godwin discloses a method wherein after the choosing step, searching for the local station chosen from the plurality of preferred local station (determine if the selected regional media program is intended to be received in the local broadcast region, step 718 in figure 7B) (column 9, lines 4-7).

(3) Regarding claim 17:

Godwin discloses that the downloading step is performed at specific predetermined times (the RDBS database can be periodically updated via the satellite 108) (column 7, lines 29-30).

(4) Regarding claim 19:

Godwin discloses that the gathering location information of the receiver is conducted via a GPS signal (column 7, lines 30-35).

- 6. Claims 14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godwin (US 6,741,834 B1) in view of Kozaki et al. (US 5,548,828) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Alcock et al. (US 2004/0198389 A1).
 - (1) Regarding to claim 14:

Godwin and Kozaki et al. disclose all the subject matter except the method wherein prior to the downloading step, determined if the receiver is in need of a preferred local update in view of the gathered location information.

However, Alcock et al. discloses a method wherein prior to the downloading step (processing step 50 in figure 5), determined if the receiver is in need of a preferred local update in view of the gathered location information (discriminate step 44 in figure 5,

discriminated 44 to determine if the incoming data is relevant (step 46) to the receiver's current location, if the data is not relevant, the data is discarded (step 48)) (paragraph 0034, lines3-10).

It is desirable to determined if the receiver is in need of a preferred local update in view of the gathered location information because it allow better network resource allocation and prevent processing useless data (paragraph 0005, lines 15-18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the method of Alcock et al. in the method of Godwin and Kozaki et al. to provide a more efficient method.

(2) Regarding claim 20:

Godwin and Kozaki et al. disclose all the subject matter except the method wherein the gathering location information of the receiver is conducted via a manual user input of the receiver's geographic location.

However, Alcock et al. discloses a method wherein the gathering location information of the receiver is conducted via a manual user input of the receiver's geographic location (the desired destination can be input by user and the receiver will extract the appropriate geographic location specific information corresponding to the selected location from a broadcast signal) (paragraph 0042, lines 8-11).

It is desirable to gathering location information of the receiver is conducted via a manual user input of the receiver's geographic location because the information may be synchronized to the location of the user so that the user drives towards the destination city, the information is updated appropriately (paragraph 0042, lines 23-28). Therefore,

it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the method as taught by Alcock et al. with the method of Godwin and Kozaki et al. to provide a more user friendly method.

7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godwin (US 6,741,834 B1) in view of Kozaki et al. (US 5,548,828) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Lee et al. (US 6,829,475 B1).

Godwin and Kozaki et al. disclose all of the subject matter except the downloading step is performed randomly by a national broadcaster service provider.

However, Lee et al. discloses a method comprising the downloading step is performed randomly (whenever the user request a recalibration of local audio stations) by a national broadcaster service provider (column 14, lines 56-61).

It is desirable to use the method as taught by Lee et al. because it will automatically update the database by the GPS signal when needed (column 14, lines 59-62). There, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teaching of Lee et al. with the method of Godwin and Kozaki et al. to provide a more convenient method for the user.

8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godwin (US 6,741,834 B1) in view of Kozaki et al (US 5,548,828) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Yuhara et al. (US 2004/0192189 A1).

Godwin and Kozaki et al. disclose all of the subject matter except the downloading step is performed when the receiver is activated.

However, Yuhara et al. discloses a receiver that the downloading step is performed when the receiver is activated (paragraph 0053, lines 7-16).

It is desirable to perform the downloading step when the receiver is activated because it would provide most updated database in the receiver (paragraph 0005, lines 3-9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the method of Yuhara et al. in the method of Godwin and Kozaki et al. to provide reliable correct information for the user.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hori et al. (US 5,950,112) discloses a satellite broadcast tuner capable of processing both QPSK modulation signal and FM signal. Takashima et al. (US 5,969,634) discloses a FM multiplexer broadcast receiving apparatus. Wehrmeyer (US 5,404,566) discloses a process for generating an entertainment audio signal interrupted by advertising audio signals and device for carrying out the process. Vogt et al. (US 6,628,930 B1) discloses a radio receiver with two tuners and a switch for verifying reception quality at an alternative frequency. Uhlik (US 6,795,413 B1) discloses radio communications system in which traffic is transmitted on the broadcast channel. Patsiokas (US 2002/0058475 A1) discloses a system for providing signals from an auxiliary audio source to a radio receiving using a wireless link. Stumphauzer,

II (US 2003/0014767 A1) discloses a system and method for creating and receiving personalized broadcasts.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Siu M. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 270-1083. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30-4:00 with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,822

Art Unit: 2611

10/30/2006

CHIEH M. FAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 15